OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY (ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING) WORKING GROUP STUDY

| AREA OF REVIEW | DETAILS/COMMENTS | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Title of Study (name of Working Group) | Development Management Process Working Group. | | | |
| Appointing Panel | Overview and Scrutiny (Environmental Well-Being) Panel. | | | |
| Members Assigned (including date Working Group appointed) | Councillors M G Baker, P Godley, M F Newman and J S Watt. Appointed by the Panel on 14 th July 2009. | | | |
| Possible Co-Options to the Group | TBC | | | |
| Interests Declared | None received. | | | |
| Rapporteur | Councillor M G Baker | | | |
| Officer Support | Roy Reeves, Head of Democratic and Central Services Jessica Walker, Trainee Democratic Services Officer | | | |
| Purpose of Study / Objective (specify exactly what the study should achieve) | To investigate the process for the determination of planning applications and make recommendations where appropriate. | | | |
| Rationale (key issues and/or reason for conducting a study) | Anecdotal evidence from Members of public concern over the pre-decision planning process. | | | |
| Terms of Reference | The review will concentrate on the process leading to the determination of planning applications, not the decision making process itself or the merits of decisions. The intention will be to look at the practices and procedures from first enquiry by potential applicants to the preparation of an officer's final report and recommendations, involving preapplication advice, public consultation, plans and amendments, duration of the process and other related matters. | | | |
| Links to Council Policies/Strategies | Link to Corporate Plan – To improve our systems and practices. | | | |

| Methodology / Approach (what types of enquiries will be | Examination of available data; Interviews; | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| used to gather evidence) | Surveys. | | | | |
| External/Specialist Support | TBC | | | | |
| Existing Documentation | To be determined. | | | | |
| Evidence to be Obtained (e.g. witnesses, documents, site visits, consultation, research, etc) | Evidence to be obtained by the Democratic Services team, together with information from the Planning Division. Possible survey of sample of applicants. Consultation with Town and Parish Councils. Customer feedback & ombudsman investigations (if any). Comparison of processes with other authorities. | | | | |

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY (ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING) WORKING GROUP STUDY

| | Website Comparisons. | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Performance against Government Indicators. | | | |
| | Availability of best practice advice and guidance. | | | |
| | Cost effectiveness of process. | | | |
| Reference Sites | Comparable local authorities. | | | |
| | · | | | |
| Investigations | To be undertaken by officers supporting the Working Group. | | | |
| 1200 | | | | |
| Witnesses | Planning officers. | | | |
| | Chairman of Development Management Panel. | | | |
| Site Visits (if necessary) | Likely to be unnecessary. | | | |
| (where and when) | | | | |
| Meetings of the Working Group | Meetings held on Thursday August 6 th 2009, Thursday September 10 th 2009, Thursday 8 th October, Thursday 29 th October and Friday 20 th November. | | | |
| | Future meetings are scheduled for Thursday 3 rd December and Thursday 17 th December. | | | |
| Costs | Officer time – both to provide support and to conduct | | | |
| (resource requirements, | research. | | | |
| additional expenditure, time) | | | | |
| Possible Barriers to the Study | None known at this stage. | | | |
| (potential weaknesses) | | | | |
| Projected Timescale | Start – July 2009 | | | |
| (Start and end times) | Completion of study expected December 2009. | | | |
| | | | | |